

United States Patent and Trademark Office

an

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Sax 1450
Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450
www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILDIO S. FF			
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,876	12/12/2001	Karl Torchalski	1085/39014/23	2612
	690 09/16/2003			•
TREXLER, BUSHNELL, GIANGIORGI, BLACKSTONE & MARR, LTD. 105 W. ADAMS STREET CHICAGO, IL 60603			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KIMBERLY D	
Cinicado, il 00003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	15	Application No.	m	
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/015,876	TORCHALSKI, KARL	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of thisis time	Kimberly D. Nguyen	2876	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app for Reply	pears on the cov r sheet with the	correspondence address	
- Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	imely filed lys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication.	
· 1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) <u></u> ☐		is action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters in	proceeding as to the morite in	
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice under a ion of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	l.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.		
Applicati —	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 19 February 2002 is/are:	: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.	
441.	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
ا لــا(٦٦	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
42\ -	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl			
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	iminer.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	•		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	-	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provi cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	isional application has been rece	eived	
ttachment(:	s)	priority and 01 00 0.0.0. 33 120	d110/01 121.	
) U Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4-6	5) Notice of Informal D	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trac		· Other		

Application/Control Number: 10/015,876

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of Preliminary Amendment filed 15 February 2002.

Priority

2. Acknowledgement is made of this application claiming the benefit of United States Provisional Application Serial No. 60/256,618 filed 19 December 2000.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on 19 February 2002. These drawings are acceptable by the Examiner.

Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 28 February 2002, 3 April 2002, and 13 November 2002 have been considered by the Examiner. The IDS filed 13 November 2002 has been crossed out because this IDS is duplication of the IDS filed 3 April 2002.

Specification

5. The Abstract is objected because it should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words.

Appropriate correction is required.

6. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means"

Application/Control Number: 10/015,876 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benade et al. (US 5,621,864; hereinafter "Benade").

Application/Control Number: 10/015,876

Art Unit: 2876

Re claims 1, 6 and 8-9, 13: Benade teaches a computer software (i.e., label generation control software; see col. 9, line 54 through col. 10, line 24) comprising computer readable code configured to create a printer label format based on a printed label that has been scanned (see figs. 4-8; col. 10, line 25 through col. 11, line 31), the computer readable code configured to convert a scanned label into a label format using optical character recognition (OCR) (see col. 10, lines 12-24), wherein the computer readable code is configured to recognize text on the scanned label as text (602, 702, 703, 802-804 in figs. 6-8), is configured to recognize graphics on the scanned label as graphics (805 in fig. 8), and is configured to characterize as graphics any items on the scanned label which are not specifically recognized by the computer readable code (see figs. 1-8 and 13-16; col. 6, line 34 through col. 12, line 33; col. 16, line 6 through col. 19, line 42).

Re claims 2 and 10: Benade teaches the computer software, wherein the computer readable code is configured to recognize barcodes on the scanned label as barcodes (fig. 2; steps 210-211; col. 12, lines 35-52).

Re claims 3 and 11: Benade teaches the computer software, wherein the computer readable code is configured to determine at least one parameter (i.e., start and/or stop characters such as a dollar sign or an asterisk) about a barcode on the scanned label, the at least one parameter comprising at least one of aspect ratio, size and human readability (col. 6, line 49 through col. 7, line 6).

Re claims 4 and 12: Benade teaches the computer software, wherein the computer readable code is configured to prompt a user, using a visual display (114 in fig. 1), to confirm that the objects on the scanned label have been correctly characterized by the computer readable

Application/Control Number: 10/015,876

Art Unit: 2876

code (i.e., the definition provided by the user through the user interface 114 indicates the configuration of labels to be printed, which serves as to confirm that the objects on the scanned label have been correctly characterized by the computer readable code; see col. 6, line 35 through col. 7, line 18; col. 16, line 6 through col. 19, line 42).

Re claim 5: Benade teaches the computer software, wherein the computer readable code is configured to allow the user to change/edit any of the characterizations made by the computer readable code (figs. 13-16; col. 16, line 6 through col. 19, line 42).

Re claims 7 and 14: Benade teaches the computer software, wherein the computer readable code is configured to allow a user to input embedded printer-specific non-printing control codes into the label format (col. 5, line 54 through col. 6, line 33).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Price et al. (US 4,939,674) teaches label generation apparatus. Irons et al. (US 6,427,032) teaches apparatus and method for digital filing.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-8792.

KDN

5 September 2003

KARL D. FRECH PRIMARY EXAMINED